

Dorset County Council

APPENDIX A i)

Scrutiny Review - Planning & Scoping Document

DOMESTIC ABUSE (Rate of children subject to Child Protection where Domestic Abuse is a feature)

	Corporate Plan Outcome & Priority:
What is the Purpose of the	OUTCOME = SAFE PRIORITY = Crime , antisocial behaviour and domestic abuse across Dorset in minimised.
 Review? Specify exactly which Outcome(s) the review is examining? Also being clear what the review is <u>not</u> looking at What is the Scrutiny Review seeking to achieve? Where possible refer to VFM issues of service cost, service performance and/or customer satisfaction. 	 Outcome Indicator / Measure: Rate of children subject to a Child Protection where domestic abuse is a feature. Supporting Rationale for the review: From a recent audit completed by Children's Services, 97% of children subject to a Child Protection Plan showed that domestic abuse was a concern. The evidence suggests locally and nationally that incidents of domestic abuse is rising and is impacting significantly on outcomes for children, young people and carers. If we do nothing then the trend is likely to continue locally and
	hence this matter requires specific attention.
 What are the Criteria for Selection? Why has this particular topic been considered to be a priority issue for scrutiny? Which of the principle criteria promoted by the Centre for Public Scrutiny does it satisfy? 	 Scrutiny review prioritisation assessment criteria: 1. Is the topic/issue likely to have a significant impact on the delivery of council services? YES 2. Is the issue of included in the Corporate Plan (e.g. of strategic importance to the council or its partners/stakeholders), or have the potential to be if not addressed? YES 3. Is a focused scrutiny review likely to add value to the performance of its services? YES 4. Is a proactive scrutiny process likely to lead to efficiencies / savings? Potentially - but not main aim. 5. Has other review work been undertaken which is likely to result in duplication? NO 6. Do sufficient scrutiny resources already exist, or are readily available, to ensure that the necessary work can be carried out in a timely manner? YES





What are the Indicators of Success? What factors / outcomes will demonstrate that this Scrutiny Review has been a success?	 We aim to identify opportunities to improve outcomes: To help to 'turn the curve' to minimise the impact of domestic abuse on children, adults and communities. Arrive at clear conclusions and recommendations to deliver tangible outcome improvements. Good Scrutiny Principles Will the review actively: Tackle issues of direct relevant to local people? YES Tackle issues where, through the unique perspective of elected members, it can add the most value? YES Talk to wide range of people, drawing them together and building consensus? YES Challenge the accepted ways of doing things and acting as a champion for developing a culture of improvement in an area? YES
What Methodology / Approach is to be followed? What types of enquiry will be used to gather evidence. Following a structured and proportionate review process, which is likely to involve the active consideration of evidence, direct representation(s), a review of financial, performance and risk data to arrive at an objective opinion against some Key Lines of Enquiry;	 An Outcomes Based Accountability (OBA) methodology will be used to conduct and structure the scrutiny review process. This will involve provision and consideration of: Context, performance, financial and risk information Evidence will be collated and assessed (incl. consideration of previous work, reports & data, verbal representations etc. Other specific contributions from relevant organisations, agencies and individualslist these e.g. Chairman Dorset Children's Safeguarding Board, Domestic Abuse Forum, Police & Crime Commissioner etc. Supporting Key Lines of Enquiry (KLOE) Turning the Curve – the 7 Killer Questions: If we do nothing where is the trend heading, is this OK? What's helping and hindering the trend ('causes and forces')? Are local services and partnerships making a difference and providing value for money? What additional information / research do we need to properly understand the 'causes and forces'? What could work to turn the trend in the right direction including local residents)? What could work to turn the trend in the right direction including 'low cost and no cost solutions'? Additional information/research around 'what works'? What is the Council's and Members role and specific contribution?





٦

What specific resources & budget requirements are there? What support is required for the review exercise? • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • research	In anticipation of voluntary support and assistance from external agencies, we do not anticipate any significant additional costs being incurred by the council in conducting this review. At this stage we do not envisage a need for any specific external support costs, or extensive research of consultation exercises. A summary of the main costs associated with the review will therefore be: - Prioritising council officer support time - Minor expenses relating to elected member, external agencies and officer travel &/or subsistence
Are any Corporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to success	 The Corporate Risk Register currently identifies the following risks that are relevant to this review exercise: 1. Failure to protect vulnerable children and young adults from abuse or neglect in situations that could have been predicted and prevented - HIGH RISK 2. Failure to protect vulnerable adults from abuse or neglect in situations that could have been predicted and prevented - HIGH RISK
Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?	The outcomes from this review exercise, which will be presented as clear and structured conclusions and recommendations, will be presented to the Cabinet for their consideration and action as appropriate. The final report will also be shared with those external agencies who have been actively engaged in and supported the review exercise.
 What is the Review Timescale? Identify key meeting dates and any deadlines for reports or decisions. 	 Review Start Date: OCTOBER 2016 Target End Date: DECEMBER 2016 Final report agreed by Committee - January 2017 Final report to Cabinet - February 2017 Follow-up Review: JANUARY 2018 To review the impact of agree changes and assess the degree of improvement achieved on resultant outcomes. (A detailed plan for the review will also be developed to clearly set out the various stages, necessary actions and timescales)





Who will lead the Review Exercise? > Identify a nominated: - Elected Member - Lead Officer	Lead Elected Member: <i>(To be agreed)</i> Lead Officer: <i>(To be agreed)</i>
 Media Interest / Publicity Communications Plan Do we need to publicise the review to encourage community involvement? What sort of media coverage do we want? (E.g. Fliers, leaflets, radio broadcast, press release, etc.) 	 There is a clear desire to raise the profile of this proactive scrutiny work with the public and, hopefully, achieve their direct engagement and representations. Internal communications will also ensure a council wide knowledge of the review and its purpose, which will help to ensure any relevant contributions can be captured. Communications Lead - Elected Member:: (<i>To be agreed</i>) Communications Lead – Officer: (<i>To be agreed</i>) Communications Officer: (<i>To be agreed</i>) Will this review be subject to a press embargo? NO
Completed by: Date:	Mark Taylor Group Manager – Governance & Assurance June 2016
Approved by Scrutiny Committee: Date:	

